

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 07/24/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSICNER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20201 www.imple.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/865,100	05/24/2001	Ming Lang Kuang	200-0407	2911	
29769 7	590 07/24/2002				
JOHN M. NABER			EXAMINER		
313 SOUTH WASHINGTON SQUARE LANSING, MI 48933			WAKS, J	WAKS, JOSEPH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			400.1		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/865,100	KUANG ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Joseph Waks	2834
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Fallui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Nonions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply septicified above is besiden thin this (3) days, a reply period for reply is specified above. The maximum statutory period for the or post with the self or contant of period for reply bill, by statute, and the self-ore than the self-ore tha	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d. will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the andication to become ARANDON	imely filed ass will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (7511,50, 6, 132).
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/24	1/01	
2a)□		is action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under to on of Claims	ance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application	on Papers		
9)⊠ ⊺	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10) 🔲 T	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	ted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep.		
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	 Certified copies of the priority documents 		
	Certified copies of the priority documents		
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burnee the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	_
14) 🗌 Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1196	e) (to a provisional application
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language prov cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been re-	ceived.
ttachment(
) ∐ Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> .	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Tra O-326 (Rev.		ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 3

Application/Control Number: 09/865,100

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

In line 1, "The invention is" is a phrase that can be implied.

The Abstract is to long.

Claim Objections

The claims are objected to because they include symbols and abbreviations like HEV
enclosed in parenthesis. The numerals in parenthesis are usually used as reference characters for
elements described in specification. See MPEP § 608.01(m).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject
matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one

Application/Control Number: 09/865,100 Art Unit: 2834

skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claim 1, lines 3-4, the limitation of "to prevent the electric energy storage device stateof-charge (SOC) from continuously falling while meeting driver demand" is not described in the specification and seems to be a general statement that not supported by means causing the continuous falling of the SOC.

In claim 2, the benefit power and the first predetermined value are not defined in the specification.

In claim 9, lines 22-24, the limitation of "controller preventing the electric energy storage device state-of-charge (SOC) from continuously falling while meeting driver demand" is not described in the specification and seems to be a general statement that not supported by means causing the continuous falling of the SOC.

- 5. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. For the reasons indicated above one of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to use or make the invention.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/865,100
Art Unit: 2834

In claim 1, lines 22-23, "the electric energy storage device state-of-charge" lacks antecedent basis, line 24, "while meeting driver demand" is indefinite since it is not clear the driver demand of what has to be satisfied in this limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaneko et al. (JP 2000-45813).

Kaneko et al. disclose invention as claimed: a powertrain comprising an engine 2, a traction motor 4, a generator motor 1, a battery 15, a power transmission 5, a driver operated selection lever having drive forward and reverse direction position (Re paragraph [0013]), a controller 16, a reverse drive controller 21 and 26 preventing the battery SOC from falling while meeting the reverse drive operation requirements.

Prior Art

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Communication

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Waks whose telephone number is (703) 308-1676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8 am to 5 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/865,100 Art Unit: 2834

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor R Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-1341 for regular communications and (703) 305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

JOSEPH WAKS PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TC-2800

JW July 19, 2002